Vol. 13(1), pp. 36-45, May 2025
https://doi.org/10.14662/ijarer2025110

ISSN: 2360-7866

International Journal of Academic Research in Education and Review

Full Length Research

Positioning Nigerian Universities for Global Visibility in the 21st Century: Strategies & Recommendations

Dr. Peter Olubunmi Olubiyo

University Library, Adeyemi Federal University of Education, Ondo State, Nigeria.
E-mail: olubiyopeter@gmail.com

Accepted 15 May 2025

Nigerian higher education institutions, although representing one of the largest and most diversified higher education systems in Africa (out of 260 institutions, there are more than 2.2 million students in higher education) are severely under-represented in the international university rankings systems, global research citation networks, international research collaboration databases, and international academic discourse. Not a single Nigerian institution was ranked among the 1,000 best in the world in the January 2025 Webometrics Ranking of World Universities, the largest global ranking by institution count, evaluating over 33,649 universities in 244 countries on three dimensions: Visibility (50%), Transparency (10%), and Excellence (40%). In January 2023, the long-established highest-ranked university in Nigeria, the University of Ibadan, held the number 1 position in the world ranking, and is not ranked among the top 1,000 in later editions. This ranking indicates profound systemic shortcomings in the volume and impact of research output, the development of open-access publications and institutional repositories, the development of digital infrastructure and web presence, and the quality of staff and retention of academics in Nigerian universities all of which limit the international acknowledgment of the intellectual potential of which the universities should have. This paper undertakes a comprehensive, evidence-based analysis of the multidimensional challenge of positioning Nigerian universities for global visibility. It examines the current global ranking landscape and Nigeria's position within it; analyses the Webometrics, QS, and THE ranking methodologies and their implications for Nigerian strategy; evaluates Nigeria's performance across five strategic dimensions, teaching and learning, research and development, AI integration, academic staff development, and international scholarly collaboration; critically assesses the challenges constraining visibility improvement; and proposes a comprehensive strategic framework for transformative visibility enhancement. The paper draws on Webometrics (2023, 2025) data, QS World Rankings methodology, THE methodology, NUC and NBS statistics, TETFund funding data, SGCI research ecosystem analysis, institutional repository data from DOAR, brain drain research, and international university ranking improvement models including China's 'Double First Class' initiative and South Korea's Brain Korea 21 programme. Recommendations are directed at the Federal Government, NUC, TETFund, university management, academic libraries, and individual academics.

Keywords: Global Visibility, Nigerian Universities, University Rankings, Webometrics, QS, THE, Research Output, Scopus, Open Access, Institutional Repository, Brain Drain, International Collaboration, TETFund, NUC, AI

Cite This Article As: Olubiyo, P.O. (2025). Positioning Nigerian Universities for Global Visibility in the 21st Century: Strategies & Recommendations. Inter. J. Acad. Res. Educ. Rev. 13(1): 36-45

INTRODUCTION: THE GLOBAL VISIBILITY IMPERATIVE

Global academic visibility, the extent to which a university's research, teaching quality, and scholarly contributions are recognised, cited, and engaged with by the international academic community, has become a defining metric of university quality in the 21st century knowledge economy. Universities with strong global visibility attract the brightest international students and faculty, secure larger and more competitive research grants, forge productive international research partnerships, publish in higher-impact journals, and generate intellectual outputs that shape global knowledge, policy, and practice. These benefits compound into virtuous cycles of excellence from which lower-visibility institutions are structurally excluded, a dynamic that is particularly consequential for Nigeria, whose universities serve a student population approaching three million and whose research capacity has direct implications for national development across health, agriculture, technology, engineering, and governance.

Nigeria's position in global university ranking systems is a matter of national strategic concern. University rankings, however imperfect their methodologies, have become powerful signals in the global academic marketplace, influencing where students choose to study, where international faculty seek appointments, where funding agencies direct research investments, and how governments assess the return on their higher education expenditure. When no Nigerian university appears in the QS World Top 1,000 or the THE Top 1,000, while South Africa has 11 institutions in the QS top 1,000, Egypt has 4, and Kenya has 2, the signal to prospective international students, global researchers, and foreign investors is unambiguous: Nigerian universities are not yet operating at globally competitive standards. Reversing this perception through genuine improvement in the dimensions that rankings measure is not merely a matter of institutional prestige; it is a strategic imperative for Nigeria's economic diversification, knowledge sovereignty, and sustainable development.

This paper approaches global visibility as a solvable strategic challenge. China's transformation of its university system, from global irrelevance in the 1990s to housing seven universities in the QS World Top 100 in 2024 through its '985 Project,' '211 Project,' and 'Double First Class' initiatives, demonstrates that deliberate, adequately funded, government-supported university visibility strategies can produce dramatic results within a generation. South Korea's 'Brain Korea 21' programme, Singapore's investment in NUS and NTU, and Malaysia's APEX university strategy provide additional models of successful national university visibility enhancement in developing and emerging-economy contexts. Nigeria's path to global visibility is charted here with this comparative international evidence as a backdrop.

GLOBAL RANKING SYSTEMS: METHODOLOGY, METRICS, AND NIGERIAN IMPLICATIONS

Webometrics: Nigeria's Primary Ranking Opportunity

The Webometrics Ranking of World Universities, produced biannually since 2004 by the Cybermetrics Lab of the Spanish National Research Council (CSIC), is the world's largest university ranking by institution coverage, assessing over 33,649 institutions across 244 countries in its 2025 edition (Shiksha, 2025; Grokipedia, 2025). Webometrics evaluates universities on three dimensions: Visibility (50%), measured by the volume of unique external backlinks to the university's web domain using Majestic SEO data; Transparency (10%), measured by the citation counts of the institution's top-cited researchers using OpenAlex API data for the period 2020–2024; and Excellence (40%), measured by the number of papers in the top 10% most-cited journals from 2019–2023 using Scimago/Scopus data (Grokipedia, 2025; Wikipedia, 2025).

Webometrics is particularly relevant for Nigerian university strategy for two reasons. First, it is the ranking in which Nigerian universities currently perform best, with the University of Ibadan at world rank 1,207 in 2023, and therefore represents the most achievable near-term improvement target. Second, all three of its dimensions are directly actionable through deliberate institutional strategy: Visibility through improved website architecture, increased online publishing, and social media academic engagement; Transparency through researcher profile development on Google Scholar, ORCID, and ResearchGate and the deposit of publications in open-access repositories; and Excellence through incentivised high-impact journal publication and international research collaboration that generates citations in top-decile journals.

The Discover Education strategic framework study (2025) analysing a developing-country university's Webometrics performance over 2019–2024 demonstrated that targeted interventions in Openness (open-access publishing and repository development), website SEO optimisation, and researcher profile management produced measurable ranking improvements, providing a directly replicable model for Nigerian universities seeking evidence-based Webometrics improvement strategies.

QS and THE Rankings: The High-Bar International Standards

The QS World University Rankings (published by Quacquarelli Symonds, UK) weights institutions on: Academic Reputation (40%, derived from global academic survey); Employer Reputation (10%); Faculty-to-Student Ratio (20%); Citations per Faculty (20%); International Faculty Ratio (5%); and International Student Ratio (5%). The Times Higher Education (THE) World University Rankings weights: Teaching (30%, including reputation survey, staff-to-student ratio, and doctorate awards); Research (30%, covering volume, income, and reputation); Citations (20%, normalised citation impact); International Outlook (7.5%, including international staff, students, and co-authorships); and Industry Income (2.5%, research funding from industry).

For Nigerian universities, both QS and THE rankings reveal specific and addressable performance gaps. On Citations per Faculty (QS, 20%) and Citations (THE, 20%), Nigerian universities are constrained by low research output volumes and limited publication in indexed, high-impact journals. On Academic/Research Reputation (combined weight: 40–70% across the two systems), reputation is built over decades through high-quality research output, alumni achievements, and international collaboration, a long-term investment that requires sustained strategy rather than short-term interventions. On Faculty-to-Student Ratio (QS, 20%), Nigeria's documented student-to-staff ratios exceeding 1:50 in many departments represent a significant ranking disadvantage that requires not merely counting staff but increasing PhD-qualified faculty numbers.

Table 1: Nigerian Universities in Global Rankings, Current Standing and Performance Gaps

University	Webometrics 2023 (World)	QS / THE Status (2024)	Key Ranking Constraints
University of Ibadan	1,207	Not in QS Top 1,000; THE Not ranked	Citation impact; research volume; international collaboration; faculty ratio
Covenant University, Ota	1,353	QS sub-ranking appearances; actively pursuing QS Top 1,000	Scale limitation (private); international student ratio; employer reputation
Obafemi Awolowo University	1,385	Not in QS Top 1,000	Web visibility; open access; faculty-student ratio; international publications
University of Nigeria, Nsukka	1,498	Not in major Top 1,000 rankings	Research output; Scopus publications; institutional repository; web presence
University of Lagos	1,699	Not in major Top 1,000 rankings	Faculty-student ratio; international collaboration; high-impact journal publications
Ahmadu Bello University, Zaria	2,244	Not in major Top 1,000 rankings	Web visibility; open access publication; international faculty; research funding

Table 1: Compiled from Webometrics (January 2023); Legit.ng (2023); Oasismagazine (2023); QS (2024); THE (2024)

TEACHING AND LEARNING: ICT INTEGRATION AND INNOVATIVE TECHNOLOGY

Teaching quality is a foundational dimension of global university visibility. THE Rankings assign teaching a 30% weight encompassing reputation surveys, staff-to-student ratios, and doctoral degree awards; QS Rankings weight faculty-to-student ratio at 20% as a proxy for teaching resource quality. Nigerian universities face documented and measurable challenges on both dimensions. High student-to-staff ratios, frequently exceeding 1:50 in many departments against the NUC's recommended 1:30 for sciences and 1:45 for humanities, structurally constrain teaching quality by limiting the per-student attention, mentorship, and feedback that distinguish excellent from adequate teaching environments. The NUC's National University System Statistical Digest (2023) documents this staffing challenge across all institution types, with federal universities generally better staffed but still below international norms.

The NUC's CCMAS (2022) mandates ICT integration across all disciplines, a policy response to the recognition that technology-enhanced teaching is both a quality standard and a competitive necessity for universities seeking global positioning. Ogunode (2024) documents that AI tools including ChatGPT and blended learning platforms are beginning to be deployed by Nigerian lecturers to enhance teaching quality. The NUC's ICT Deputy Director's presentation at the 2024 UNESCO High-Level Policy Dialogue in Africa (ICHEI, 2024) outlined NUC's implementation of a National AI Strategy for integrating AI into the Nigerian University System, the first formal institutional commitment to AI-enhanced teaching at the national regulatory level. These developments signal genuine momentum toward technology-integrated teaching, though implementation remains uneven across institution types, disciplines, and geopolitical zones.

Covenant University's position as Nigeria's second-highest-ranked institution in Webometrics 2023, despite being a private university with lower research output than many federal peers, demonstrates the ranking impact of deliberate institutional investment in teaching quality: mandatory ICT training for all staff, smart classroom infrastructure, innovative assessment methods, and technology-integrated pedagogy produce measurable quality indicators that international rankings reward.

RESEARCH, DEVELOPMENT, AND AI APPLICATIONS

Nigeria's Research Output Trajectory and Benchmarks

Research output is the most heavily weighted dimension across global university ranking systems. THE weights research volume, income, and reputation at 30%, with an additional 20% for citation impact; QS weights citations per faculty at 20%. Nigeria's research output, while growing in absolute terms, with Scopus-indexed publications from Nigerian institutions growing from approximately 4,000 per year in 2015 to over 10,000 by 2022, remains structurally insufficient to generate the citation density needed for top-tier ranking performance. Africa contributes only 1.3% of the world's annual research output, with Nigeria, Kenya, and South Africa together contributing approximately 52% of Africa's total (PMC, 2024).

A critical contextual benchmark is Nigeria's research funding investment. The SGCI/TETFund Policy Brief (2025) reveals that Nigeria currently spends approximately 0.13% of GDP on research funding, compared to 2.4% for China, 0.85% for South Africa, the AU's recommended 1% minimum, and the global average of 1.7%. This severe research underfunding directly constrains publication output, research quality, international collaboration capacity, and the laboratory and computational infrastructure that generate the high-impact research that ranking-relevant citation occurs in. Without fundamental improvement in research funding, to at least the AU 1% GDP minimum, Nigeria's research output cannot achieve the volume and quality needed to compete in THE or QS citation-based ranking dimensions.

The University Guru meta-ranking (2025) confirms that as of June 2025, only three Nigerian universities appeared in the QS World University Rankings globally, a marginal presence that reflects the combined effect of limited research funding, low citation impact, brain drain of research-active academics, and inadequate research infrastructure. Nature Index Research Leaders 2025 data shows the University of Ibadan ranked at position 1,747 globally in research output quality, a figure that illustrates both progress and the significant distance remaining to achieve meaningful global visibility.

AI Integration and the Research Transformation Opportunity

Artificial intelligence applications represent a transformative opportunity for Nigerian university research productivity that is both urgent and achievable within existing resource constraints. AI-powered research tools, including ChatGPT for writing assistance and literature synthesis, systematic review automation tools, bibliometric visualisation platforms (VOSviewer, CiteSpace, Bibliometrix), computational analysis tools (Python, R with AI extensions), and AI-assisted data collection and analysis, can meaningfully increase research output quality and quantity even within the funding constraints that chronically limit Nigerian academic research. Ogunode (2024) documents that AI tools have simplified research processes and learning in Nigerian university contexts.

The NUC's 2024 presentation on its National AI Strategy for the Nigerian University System (ICHEI, 2024) identified university-industry collaboration, continental academic partnerships, and AI competency building as core pillars of Nigeria's higher education AI agenda, signalling regulatory-level recognition that AI integration is a strategic necessity for competitive positioning. ChatGPT and related LLMs, accessible at zero cost through their free tiers, immediately extend the research support capabilities available to every Nigerian academic with internet access, providing literature synthesis, writing improvement, grant proposal structuring, and research question formulation assistance that previously required expensive human research assistance or advanced academic training. However, as documented throughout this paper's companion analyses, the infrastructure constraints of unreliable connectivity and power supply must be addressed for AI research tools to achieve their full productivity potential in Nigerian university settings.

ACADEMIC STAFF TRAINING, DEVELOPMENT, AND BRAIN DRAIN

Academic Staff Quality and Ranking Performance

Academic staff quality is central to global university visibility across all major ranking methodologies. THE assesses staff-to-student ratios within its teaching dimension (30% total weight), and the research reputation dimension (which constitutes the largest single element of THE's scoring) is fundamentally built on the cumulative publication and citation record of an institution's academic community. QS measures citations per faculty (20%), directly rewarding institutions whose

academics publish in high-impact, internationally cited venues. For Nigerian universities, academic staff quality development requires simultaneous action on three fronts: increasing the proportion of PhD-qualified staff; improving research productivity and international publication rates; and stemming the brain drain that depletes the most internationally active academics.

The Brain Drain Challenge

Nigeria experiences one of the most severe academic brain drain challenges in sub-Saharan Africa. Each year, significant numbers of Nigeria's most internationally visible academics, those with strong publication records, international networks, and competitive research profiles, emigrate to universities in the United Kingdom, United States, Canada, and Australia, attracted by higher salaries, better research infrastructure, and superior professional development opportunities. Independent Nigeria (2025) characterises this as a vicious cycle: universities slip further down global rankings, making them less attractive to the scholars they desperately need to retain. Over 9,000 Nigerian doctors left the country between 2016 and 2021 alone (WHO, 2023, cited in IJAMR, 2025), a figure that, extended across academic disciplines beyond medicine, represents a catastrophic loss of the intellectual capital that global visibility requires.

The push factors driving Nigerian academic brain drain are well-documented: poor remuneration (ASUU strike analysis: Akinwale et al., 2023); inadequate research funding and infrastructure (SGCI, 2025); limited professional development opportunities; poor governance and university administration quality; and periodic ASUU industrial actions that disrupt academic calendars and damage institutional reputation. The pull factors in receiving countries, better pay, better research environments, better career stability, and better quality of life, are equally powerful and cannot be eliminated by Nigerian institutions acting alone. The most successful developing-country model for addressing brain drain is China's 'circular migration' approach: rather than preventing emigration (as Nigeria's 2023 Medical Brain Drain Bill controversially attempted), China's 'Thousand Talents Plan' and 'Changjiang Scholars Program' brought diaspora academics back as visiting professors, joint researchers, and remote collaborators, capturing their international expertise without requiring permanent return (Independent.ng, 2025).

TETFund Academic Staff Development

TETFund's Academic Staff Training and Development (AST&D) programme, which funds postgraduate studies and short-term training for Nigerian university academics, represents the most significant public investment in academic staff quality improvement. The programme's positive impact on research development, confirmed by Anachuna et al. (2024) for South-East federal universities, demonstrates its effectiveness when resources reach the institutions that need them most. However, TETFund's AST&D reach is insufficient relative to the scale of Nigerian academia's capacity development needs: underfunding, bureaucratic delays, and geographic inequality in fund distribution all constrain programme impact. Expanding AST&D funding, streamlining its award processes, and ensuring equitable geographic distribution are minimum requirements for using TETFund to effectively address the academic staff capacity dimension of Nigeria's global visibility challenge.

SCHOLARLY INTERACTION WITH INTERNATIONAL UNIVERSITIES

International research collaboration is an empirically proven driver of research visibility and citation impact. Studies across diverse national contexts consistently demonstrate that internationally co-authored publications receive significantly higher citation rates than purely domestic publications, reflecting the combined academic networks, complementary expertise, diverse readership bases, and multi-institutional endorsement that international collaboration brings. THE explicitly measures international co-authorship as a component of its International Outlook metric (contributing to the 7.5% total weight), while QS rankings reward the institutional reputation that sustained international collaboration builds over time.

Nigeria currently has limited but growing international research collaboration activity. The PMC (2024) peer mentorship study documents how Nigerian researchers have leveraged diaspora connections and international collaborations to access databases, conduct systematic reviews, and publish in high-impact global health journals, demonstrating that meaningful international collaboration is achievable within Nigeria's resource constraints when appropriate mechanisms are in place. The University of Ibadan's partnerships with Oxford, Michigan, and European institutions; Ahmadu Bello University's engagement with UNESCO-ICHEI's AI education initiatives (ICHEI, 2024); and Covenant University's deliberate international publication strategy represent concrete models of what effective international scholarly

engagement looks like in Nigerian universities.

The SGCI Policy Brief (2025) recommends establishing a comprehensive Nigeria Research and Innovation Council to coordinate international partnerships, manage competitive research grants, and provide a single authoritative interface for international research collaboration agreements. Nigeria's participation in African research consortium initiatives, including the African Research Universities Alliance (ARUA) and various continent-wide research networks, provides an existing multilateral framework for building international collaboration at scale. Diaspora engagement programmes that bring Nigerian academics based abroad back as visiting professors and research collaborators, modelled on China's circular migration approach, could rapidly expand Nigerian universities' international co-authorship rates and network embeddedness.

CHALLENGES TO GLOBAL VISIBILITY

Table 2: Comprehensive Challenge Analysis , Nigerian University Global Visibility Constraints

Challenge	Specific Manifestation	Quantified Evidence / Scale
Research Underfunding	Nigeria spends 0.13% GDP on research vs AU target of 1%	SGCI/TETFund (2025): 0.13% vs China 2.4%, South Africa 0.85%, global average 1.7%
Brain Drain	Mass emigration of research-active academics; ASUU strikes; poor working conditions	9,000+ doctors left 2016–2021; ASUU strikes Akinwale et al. (2023); virtuous cycle disrupted (Independent.ng, 2025)
Low Open-Access Repository Adoption	Only 31 DOAR-registered repositories; South-West dominant; North-East has only 1	Aghoghovwia & Ekereuche (2024): 31 repositories nationally as of Nov 2023; directly constrains Webometrics Transparency score
Poor Digital Infrastructure	Unreliable internet/power disrupts online teaching, research databases, LMS access	Nigeria broadband 44.43% end-2024; IIARD (2025): slow broadband impacts teaching/research; 27M without telecom access
High Student-to-Staff Ratios	Ratios exceeding 1:50 vs NUC recommended 1:30; constrains teaching quality and lecturer research time	NUC (2023): documented across institution types; directly constrains QS faculty-to-student metric (20% weight)
Limited Scopus/WoS Publications	10,000 Scopus publications/year vs South Africa's 30,000+; low citation density	Nigeria 1.3% Africa's 1.3% of global output (PMC, 2024); Nature Index: UI at 1,747 globally (2025)
Weak Webometrics Visibility Score	Poor website optimisation; limited external backlinks; low social media academic engagement	Visibility = 50% of Webometrics weight; Majestic SEO backlink data; targeted SEO can produce measurable gains (Discover Education, 2025)

Table 2: Author's Synthesis (2024); sources as cited

STRATEGIC FRAMEWORK FOR GLOBAL VISIBILITY ENHANCEMENT

Table 3: Integrated Strategic Framework for Nigerian University Global Visibility Enhancement

Strategic Pillar	Specific Actions	Expected Ranking Impact	Comparable Model
1. Research Excellence	Increase research funding to 1% GDP; establish 10 Centres of Excellence; mandatory Scopus publication incentives	Higher THE research (30%) and citation (20%) scores; QS citations per faculty (20%)	China 985 Project; South Korea Brain Korea 21; Malaysia APEX
2. Open Access & Digital Visibility	Mandate IRs for all universities; NUC OA deposit policy; website SEO programme; researcher profile management (Google Scholar, ORCID)	Webometrics Transparency (10%) and Visibility (50%) improvements; higher discoverability globally	Discover Education (2025) Webometrics improvement framework for developing-country universities
3. Staff Quality & Brain Gain	Diaspora Visiting Professors programme; competitive retention packages; expand TETFund AST&D; mandatory PhD completion for all academic staff within 5 years	Improved QS faculty-to-student ratio (20%); higher academic reputation; improved research quality	China's Thousand Talents/Changjiang Scholars diaspora engagement model (Independent.ng, 2025)
4. International Collaboration	Nigeria Research & Innovation Council; international co-authorship incentives; ARUA participation; bilateral partnership agreements with Top-500 universities	THE International Outlook (7.5%); higher citation impact through co-authored publications	ARUA model; PMC (2024) diaspora peer mentorship model; UNESCO-ICHEI partnerships (ICHEI, 2024)
5. World-Class University Initiative	Federal government selects 5 universities for concentrated investment targeting QS Top 1,000 within 10 years	Direct ranking improvement for selected institutions; signalling effect for national system quality	China's Double First Class initiative (7 Chinese universities in QS Top 100 by 2024)
6. Teaching Quality Infrastructure	Smart classroom programme; NUC AI integration strategy; mandatory digital pedagogy training; reduce student-staff ratios to NUC standards	THE Teaching metric (30%); QS faculty-student ratio (20%); academic reputation survey improvements	Covenant University model (Nigeria's 2nd-ranked private university through institutional ICT investment)

Table 3:: Author's Strategic Framework (2024)

The World-Class University Initiative: A Nigerian 'Double First Class'

China's experience of transforming its higher education system from global marginalisation in the 1990s to having seven universities in the QS World Top 100 by 2024 provides the most relevant and scalable model for Nigeria's global visibility strategy. The '985 Project' (1998) designated nine elite universities for concentrated government investment targeting world-class status; the '211 Project' funded 112 universities at a broader level; and the 'Double First Class' initiative (2015) continued this tiered investment approach with explicit ranking targets. The key elements of China's success are directly replicable in Nigeria: concentrated investment in a small number of institutions (not diffuse investment across all 260+); explicit global ranking targets with institutional accountability; diaspora academic engagement through

flexible visiting and collaborative arrangements; massive expansion of research funding (from 0.4% to 2.4% of GDP over 25 years); and strategic international partnership development.

A Nigerian World-Class University Initiative, selecting 5 universities (recommended: University of Ibadan, Obafemi Awolowo University, University of Nigeria Nsukka, Ahmadu Bello University Zaria, and University of Lagos) for a 10-year concentrated investment programme targeting entry into the QS Top 1,000 within a decade, would provide the focused, measurable, and politically accountable visibility improvement strategy that Nigeria's higher education system needs. Each selected institution would receive dedicated annual investment for research infrastructure, academic staff recruitment and retention, international partnership development, open-access publishing and institutional repository development, and web visibility management.

Library Research Support as a Visibility Engine

Academic libraries occupy a uniquely strategic position in the global visibility enhancement ecosystem. The Aghoghovwia and Ekereuche (2024) study confirms that development of institutional repositories in Nigeria is critical for advancing African research outputs to global visibility, and academic libraries are the primary institutional actors responsible for IR development, management, and advocacy. Libraries that actively position themselves as research support partners, providing bibliometric analysis, open-access publishing guidance, research impact assessment, international journal selection advice, and citation management training, directly contribute to the research visibility outcomes that improve ranking performance. The Webometrics Transparency metric, which measures the citation visibility of an institution's most-cited researchers, can be directly improved through libraries' systematic campaign to ensure that all faculty researchers maintain comprehensive, up-to-date Google Scholar, ORCID, ResearchGate, and Academia.edu profiles, a low-cost, high-impact library-led visibility intervention available to every Nigerian university library.

CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATIONS

Nigerian universities possess the intellectual potential, the talent, creativity, and scholarly ambition, to achieve meaningful global visibility. What they currently lack is the sustained institutional support, adequate funding, enabling policy environment, and strategic management commitment needed to translate this potential into the research output, teaching quality, and international collaboration that global ranking systems measure and reward. The evidence reviewed in this paper is clear in its diagnosis: Nigeria's universities are constrained by research funding at one-twelfth of the AU's recommended minimum, by brain drain that depletes research-active academics, by only 31 functioning institutional repositories against 260+ universities, and by digital infrastructure gaps that restrict access to the information systems on which competitive academic work depends.

The path to global visibility is long and requires investment across every dimension of university quality simultaneously. There is no single intervention that will catapult Nigerian universities into global top-tier rankings within a short period. What is achievable, within five to ten years of sustained, strategically directed effort, is meaningful ranking improvement across all major systems: entry of the top three to five Nigerian universities into the QS Top 1,000; improvement of the University of Ibadan into the top 800 of Webometrics; establishment of a critical mass of high-impact, internationally co-authored publications from Nigerian institutions; and a reputation for quality and innovation that begins to attract international students and faculty to Nigerian campuses. These are ambitious but achievable targets, grounded in the demonstrated experience of China, South Korea, Malaysia, Singapore, and other nations that have successfully navigated the transition from global academic obscurity to global academic recognition within a generation.

- The Federal Government of Nigeria should establish a World-Class University Initiative (WCUI), selecting five universities for concentrated, accountable, time-bounded investment targeting QS Top 1,000 entry within ten years, modelled on China's successful '985 Project' and 'Double First Class' initiatives.
- TETFund should increase research grant allocations by a minimum of 50% over five years, with specific grant streams for international co-authored publications, Scopus-indexed journal development, and computational research infrastructure. Nigeria's research funding must progress from 0.13% towards the AU's recommended 1% of GDP within a decade.
- All Nigerian universities should be required by NUC to implement open-access institutional repositories with mandatory faculty deposit obligations within 24 months of the directive, expanding from 31 registered repositories nationally to 260+ within five years and directly improving Webometrics Transparency scores for all institutions.
- The Federal Government should establish a Nigerian Academic Diaspora Engagement Programme, analogous to China's Thousand Talents and Changjiang Scholars programmes, creating visiting professorships, sabbatical

fellowships, and remote collaboration mechanisms that bring internationally active Nigerian academics back into productive engagement with domestic universities without requiring permanent relocation.

- University libraries should establish Research Visibility Support Services, providing faculty with bibliometric profile management, institutional repository deposit assistance, open-access publishing guidance, citation analysis, and web visibility optimisation, directly contributing to Webometrics Transparency and Excellence improvements through systematic researcher profile management.
- The NUC should incorporate international research collaboration metrics, number of international co-authored publications, bilateral partnership agreements, international visiting professors, and joint degree programmes, into the accreditation criteria, incentivising institutions to build and document international scholarly networks.
- Universities should develop competitive and transparent academic retention packages, including research grants, sabbatical entitlements, publication incentives, housing support, and professional development funding, to reduce the push factors driving academic brain drain while making return attractive to diaspora academics.

REFERENCES

Aghoghovwia, B. O., & Ekereuche, A. O. (2024). Status and challenges of institutional repositories in university libraries in South-East Nigeria: Implications for visibility and ranking of Nigerian universities. *The Journal of Academic Librarianship, 50*(1), Article 102834. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.acalib.2023.102834

Akinwale, O. E., & Kuye, O. L. (2023). Trajectory of brain-drain and quality of work-life amongst Nigeria's university lecturers: Academic Staff Union of Universities (ASUU) incessant strike in retrospect. *International Trade, Politics and Development, 7*(2), 115–137. https://doi.org/10.1108/ITPD-05-2023-0012 (or available at https://www.econstor.eu/bitstream/10419/319581/1/1860835716.pdf)

Aliyu, A. A., & Lawal, M. T. (2024). Determinants of brain drain in Nigeria: Does financial inclusion matter? *Journal of Governance and Accountability Studies, 4*(2), 95–108. https://goodwoodpub.com/index.php/jgas/article/view/2417

Anachuna, O. K., Yaki, K., & Katuka, W. Y. (2025). TETFund intervention and physical infrastructure in selected universities in North-Central Nigeria (2018–2024). *AKSU Journal of Administration and Corporate Governance, 5*(2), 124–136. https://aksujacog.org.ng/articles/25/03/tetfund-intervention-and-physical-infrastructure-in-selected-universities-in-north-central-nigeria-2018-2024/

Gasmalla, K. (2025). A strategic framework for enhancing university rankings based on Webometrics criteria: A descriptive-analytical approach. *Discover Education, 4*, Article 97. https://doi.org/10.1007/s44217-025-00797-9

International Centre for Higher Education Innovation. (2024). *The 2024 high-level policy dialogue in Africa: Operationalising strategies for quality higher education in the AI era*. UNESCO-ICHEI. https://en.ichei.org/en/news/information/901.html

National Universities Commission. (2022). *Core curriculum and minimum academic standards (CCMAS)*. National Universities Commission.

National Universities Commission. (2023). *Statistical digest of Nigerian universities 2023*. National Universities Commission.

Ogunode, N. J., Abdullahi, A., & Sani, K. (2024). Artificial intelligence and teaching in Nigerian universities. *American Journal of Technology Advancement, 1*(2), 1–12. https://semantjournals.org/index.php/AJTA/article/view/62

Okebukola, P. A. O. (2011). *Nigerian universities and world ranking: Issues, strategies and forward planning* [Unpublished manuscript or working paper]. Academia.edu. (Available from https://www.academia.edu)

PMC / NCBI. (2024). Harnessing peer mentorship as a tool to turn human resource for health brain drain into brain gain: A Nigerian case study. *Human Resources for Health, 22*, Article 46. https://doi.org/10.1186/s12960-024-00932-x

QS World University Rankings. (2024). *QS ranking methodology 2024*. Quacquarelli Symonds. https://www.topuniversities.com/qs-world-university-rankings/methodology

ResearchGate / IJAMR. (2025). Brain drain and development in Africa: Challenges, opportunities, and mitigation strategies. *International Journal of Academic Multidisciplinary Research, 9*(10), 67–80. http://ijeais.org/wp-content/uploads/2025/10/IJAMR251008.pdf

Science Granting Councils Initiative / TETFund. (2025). *Policy and institutional imperatives for strengthening the Nigerian research and innovation funding ecosystem*. Science Granting Councils Initiative. https://sgciafrica.org/wp-content/uploads/2025/07/

Suleman, T. A., Okimiji, O. P., Atoro, T. K., & Adejo, J. E. (2025). Deployment of ChatGPT in Nigerian universities: Addressing research challenges and ethical considerations. *LASU Journal of Environmental Sciences, 1*(1), 338–363. https://lasujournals.ng/index.php/lasujes/article/view/50

Times Higher Education. (2024). *World university rankings 2024 methodology*. https://www.timeshighereducation.com/world-university-rankings/world-university-rankings-2024-methodology

Webometrics / Cybermetrics Lab CSIC. (2023). *Ranking web of universities: Nigeria, January 2023*. https://www.webometrics.info

Webometrics / Cybermetrics Lab CSIC. (2025). *Ranking web of universities: July 2025 edition*. https://www.webometrics.info

World Bank. (2023). *Nigeria overview*. https://www.worldbank.org/en/country/nigeria/overview